

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,817		03/31/2004	Patrick J. Gibbons	P00870-US-00 (11049.0013)	5036
31835	7590	07/12/2005		EXAM	INER
RUSSELL I		LER, II		COLLINS, D	OOLORES R
ONE AMERICAN SQUARE, BOX 82001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
INDIANAPOLIS IN 46282-0002			3711		

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		5
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/813,817	GIBBONS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
· .	Dolores R. Collins	3711
The MAILING DATE of this communication and for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA*  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica*  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) data*  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor*  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ration.  ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
atus		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>31 March 2004</u> .	
· <u> </u>	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a	allowance except for formal matt	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice u	inder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
sposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the appli	cation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	rithdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•	
8) Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> are subject to restriction a	ind/or election requirement.	
oplication Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection	***	• •
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f a) All b) Some * c) None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
Certified copies of the priority doc		
2. Certified copies of the priority doc		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	received in this National Stage
application from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action fo	المستعدد الم	manahand '

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_.

6) Other: \_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/813,817

Art Unit: 3711

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-13 are drawn to a set of Playing Cards, classified in class 273, subclass 293.
- II. Claims 14-29, drawn to A Method Of Playing a Card Game, classified in class 273, subclass 292. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process of using the product, i.e., game play can be practices using another materially different product.

Subsequent to the election of one of Group I and II, applicant is further required to select from one of the following species since this application contains claims directed to patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Claims 14-22 are drawn to Species I

• Claims 23-29 are drawn to Species II

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Application/Control Number: 10/813,817

Art Unit: 3711

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Dolores R. Collins* whose telephone number is *(571)* **272-4421**. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00 A.M. - 4:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, *Greg Vidovich* can be reached on *(571) 272-4415*. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is *703-872-9306*.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,817

Art Unit: 3711

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

7/8/05

GREGORY VIDOVICH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700